ITS Executive Steering Committee (ITESC)

Agenda and Materials – September 20, 2017



Agenda

Business Intelligence Subcommittee

• S. Malisch

Academic Technology Service Change Requests

• B. Montes

Student System Upgrade

• K. Smith

Mobile Device Strategy

• D. Vonder Heide, J. Sibenaller



ITESC Structure & Inputs



Academic Technology Service Change Requests

Technology Service Change Requests September 20, 2017

Proposed...

Changes

- 1. Enforce a Two-Year Retention Practice for Sakai Course and Project Site Data (was 18-months)
- 2. Eliminate Kaltura System as a Video Repository Service (use Panopto as a Replacement)
- 3. Eliminate Adobe Connect as an Online Classroom and Webinar Service (use Zoom as a Replacement)

• Timing

- Complete Changes Over the Course of the Current Academic Year:
 - **1.** Allow Faculty to Plan for Transition
 - 2. Lessen the Impact on LUC Community
 - 3. Align to Budget Cycles

Proposed...

• Financial Impact

- 1. Save 2% in Ongoing Annual Maintenance
- 2. Fund New Technology Requests While Reducing FY'18 Captial Request by \$82.5K
- 3. Avoid Additional \$3K in FY'18 Storage Expenses

Academic Technologies		FY '18		FY'18		New FY'18		FY'19	Notes	
		erating Capital		Capital		C	perating			
Kaltura System	\$ 5!	5,000	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	Eliminate in FY'19	
Adobe Connect System	\$ 28	8,000	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	Eliminate in FY'19	
Panopto System	\$ 8	8,000	\$	-	\$	-	\$	88,000	Retain in FY'19	
Zoom System	\$ 50	0,000	\$	-	\$	-	\$	50,000	Retain in FY'19	
Sakai System	\$ 10	8,000	\$	-	\$	-	\$	108,000	Retain in FY'19	
Move Kaltura Content to Panopto	\$!	5,000	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	One-Time Expense for Transition	
Qualtrics: Online Survey Software Platform	\$	-	\$	44,500		\$0.00	\$	44,500	Earmark Savings from Elimination of Kaltura and Adobe Connect to	
IVR System to Replace Switchboard Functions	\$	-	\$	38,000		\$0.00	\$	38,000	Replace FY'18 Capital Requests (\$82.5K)	
T-4-1-	6 22	4 000		02 500		ć0.00		220 500	2% Savings in Ongoing Operating Expenses While Adding New	
lotais	\$ 33	4,000	\	82,500		ŞU.UU	۱Ş	328,500	lechnologies and withdrawing \$82.5K from FY 18 Capital Request	

Preparing people to lead extraordinary lives

Employ a Two-Year Retention Practice for Sakai Course and Project Site Data

- Clean-up Unused Data
- Provide Better Defined and Efficient Services in the Learning Management System (LMS)
- Avoid \$3,000 in Additional Annual Expenses in Storage Costs in 2018 (current Sakai Maintenance is \$108K annually)

Employ a Two-Year Retention Practice for Sakai Course and Project Site Data

- 40% of Sites Have Not Been Used in Over Two Years
- Growing by 1-TB Per Year Avoid Additional Expenses
- Provide Faculty Nine Month Notice
 - Identify Semester Break as "Clean-Up" Month as an Opportunity to Market, Advertise, and Plan for Change
 - Encourage Content Review, Clean-up, and Assistance
- Better Align Student Data With ID\Email Policies

Eliminate Kaltura System as a Video Repository Service

- Remove a Redundant Service
- Panopto Can Now Meet and Exceed Current Services (current Panopto Maintenance is \$88K annually)
- Cease Business With a Non-Value Add Business Partner
- "Right Fit" Video Services at Loyola
- Save \$55,000 in Annual Maintenance Expenses

Locations of LUC Video Recordings

Eliminate Kaltura System as a Video Repository Service

- 13 Individuals Account for Over 70% All Entries in Kaltura
- Modern Languages Accounts for 34% of the Items in Kaltura (audio files)
- Panopto is More broadly Used and Adopted and Can Fully Support Kaltura Functions
- With Transition
 - Content Remains Same Moves to Panopto and Links Updated
 - 2. For a one-time Fee (\$5K) Panopto Will Migrate Content for LUC

Eliminate Adobe Connect as an Online Classroom and Webinar Service

- Consolidate Video Conferencing, Webinar, and Online Classroom Technologies to "Best Fit"
- Replace With Existing Zoom Service
- Make for a Better Online Experience for Loyola's Students, Faculty, and Staff
- Save \$28,000 in Annual Maintenance Expenses

Prenaring neople to lead extraordinary live

Eliminate Adobe Connect as an Online Classroom and Webinar Service

- Professional Schools (Law, QSOB, SCPS, Nursing) Account for 43% of Adobe Connect Use
- Targeted Campaign With These Schools to Help With Transition (Use Zoom in Spring)
- Faculty Have Expressed Continued Frustration With Adobe Connect
- Limited Licensing

"Switching to Zoom for my summer online course was such a great decision due to the increased functionality for student-to-student interaction in Zoom, I will never go back to Adobe Connect for synchronous sessions." -Patrick Daubenmire, Associate Professor, Chemistry Undergraduate Program Director

Top Departments with Recordings in Adobe Connect	Hours	Days	Percent
School of Business	4,098	171	38%
Computer Science	2,978	124	28%
Faculty Center for Ignation Pedagogy	1,909	80	18%
School of Continuing and Professional Studies	773	32	7%
Chemistry	527	22	5%
Bioethics	524	22	5%
Totals for Top Six Departments With Recordings	10,810	450	92%
Total Recordings in Adobe Connect Database	11,748		

Zoom...

- Is Easier to Use
- Is Better Performing
- Offers 24-Hour End User Support
- Can Support Adobe Connect Functionality

Preparing people to lead extraordinary lives

Proposed Schedule...

Preparing people to lead extraordinary lives

Academic Technology Service Change Requests

Agenda

Business Intelligence Subcommittee

• S. Malisch

Change Management for Academic Technologies

• B. Montes

Student System Upgrade

• K. Smith

Mobile Device Strategy

• D. Vonder Heide, J. Sibenaller

Student System Upgrade Project Overview

- Move from Oracle Campus Solutions (CS) 9.0 to Oracle CS 9.2
 - Requisite component upgrades
 - Work began July 2016
 - Target go-live December 2017 (Final Date TBD)
- Phased Approach
 - Technical Changes First
 - Functional Improvements

Project Objectives

- Stay current with Campus Solutions (CS) and People Tools (PT) software versions to receive support from Oracle. (Extended support for CS 9.0 will end December 2019)
- Enables implementation of added functional and technology features.
 - PUM (PeopleSoft Update Manager) New selective adoption of new features vs. applying mandatory, incremental bundles updates.
 - Support for new user interface (i.e. FLUID) Navigation and Pages

Why Do We Care?

- Selective Adoption of New Functionality and Regulatory Changes, Enabling Reduced Maintenance Timeframe
- Enables Up-to-date Self-service Features for Students, Faculty and Staff
 - o Mobile Responsive Capability
 - \odot Contemporary Look and Feel
- Retirement of Older Technology (e.g. Crystal Reports)

Scope

- **Phase I** (Primarily technical)
 - Complete Pre-requisite Projects
 - Oracle, Application, Development Tools, Portal
 O Upgrade LOCUS Student Information System
 O Functional Testing
- Phase II (Begins After Phase I Functional Testing)
 - o Added Flexibility and Adaptable User Interface and Navigation
 - New Business Process for Selective Adoption
 - Review of Current LUC Customizations

(e.g. LUC Parent Guest to Oracle New Delegated Access)

Upgrade Project Team

Project Team Members

Team / Area	Functional Lead	Technical Lead
Admissions - Undergraduate	Timothy Carroll	Michael Martin
Bursar	Thomas Catania	Dave Kessler, John McGivney
Enrollment Systems Research & Reporting	Tim Heuer, Lisa Gierich	Caroline Mwangi, Ivan Siap
Graduate Enrollment Management	Ronald Martin	Michael Martin
Financial Aid	Tobyn Friar	Caroline Mwangi, Ivan Siap
Registration and Records	Clare Korinek, Diane Hullinger	Xiomara Franco, Mark Reich
Registration and Records – Academic Advisement	Shannon Levi	Xiomara Franco, Greg Biskoski
Registration and Records – Campus Community	Kris Daggett	Dave Kessler, John McGivney
Registration and Records – Class Scheduling	Stacey Lind	Ivan Siap, Xiomara Franco
Registration and Records – Transfer Credit	Thomas Stahnke, Joyce Norwood	Greg Biskoski, Mark Reich
Student Financials	Rebecca Gomez	Dave Kessler, John McGivney
Project Management Team	Dave Kessler, Maria Muñoz, Xiomara Franco	

Leadership Team Members

Organization	Organizational Member
Executive Sponsor	John Pelissero (Provost), David Prasse (Vice Provost), Jo Beth D'Agostino (Vice Provost)
Chief Information Officer	Susan Malisch (ITS)
Director	Clare Korinek (Registration and Records), Kevin Smith (ITS)
ITS Management	Charlotte Pullen (Database & Middleware), Larry Adams (App Dev)
R&R Management	Diane Hullinger, Kris Daggett

Project Schedule – Phase 1 (Technical)

Initial Pass – one time process

The initial pass is defined as the process of merging your existing production database with the new release software. In the process you preserve and reapply existing customizations made to delivered objects.

Test Move(s) to Production – repeated as necessary

The test move(s) to production are defined as the process of merging the data from a new copy of your existing production database with the new initial pass database software which now includes customizations.

Final Move to Production - one time process

The final move to production includes freezing the production database of any further enhancements. At this time you merge your data from the production database with the software from the latest test move to production.

Constraints / Risks

- Acclimating Project Schedule Across All Involved Departments
- Concurrent Projects, Maintenance, and Support
- Aggressive Project Schedule
- Transactional Systems (LOCUS and Subsidiary Systems) will be unavailable during the actual cutover (max of 4 days)
- Limited Implementation Windows Due to:
 - Registration
 - o Start of School
 - \circ FA Packaging
 - \circ Billing
 - o Etc.

What's Coming?

Phase II

Home Pages

Navigation

Tiles

FLUID Pages

FLUID - Homepages

FLUID - Tiles

FLUID - NavBar

FLUID – Contact Details

Student Homepage		Profile		â	≡	۲
Personal Details	Contact Details					
Contact Details	Email					
X Addresses	+					
Emergency Contacts	Email	Туре	Preferred			
	locusupg@luc.edu	Campus	~			
	locusupg@luc.edu	Home		>		

FLUID – View My Classes

Student Homer	bage	View My	Classes		⋒ ≡	Ø
Fall 2017 Undergraduate						
	By Class			By Date		
Show Enrolled Classes Show Waitlisted Classes						
ENGL 283 Wom	en in Literature					
HIST 300E Topi	HIST 300E Topics in World History					
▼ PHIL 130 Philos	ophy & Persons					
			_			
Status Units	Grading Basis G	Grade Academic	Program	Requirement I	Designatio	n
Enrolled 3.00	Graded Alpha	Undergrad	uate Arts & S	ciences		
Class Number	Component	Start/End Da	ates	Days and Times	Room	
3734	Lecture	08/28/2017 -	12/16/2017	Days: Tuesday Thursday Times: 1:00PM to 2:15PM	Mundel Center - Room 608	>

FLUID – Award Summary

Student Homepage Financial Aid	٥
2016-2017	

Award Summary

T Display Summary V			2 rows
Award Description/Category	Award Status	Net Award	
Tuition Benefit-LUC Staff Dep Work/Study	Accepted	38,300.00	>
[Allowance for PLUS Loan]	Offered	15,050.00	>
Totals		53,350.00	

Currency used is US Dollar

Declined Awards

Based on review of your Free Application for Federal Student Aid you have been awarded the listed aid. It is intended to help you fill the gap between your ability to pay, your expected family contribution or EFC, and college costs, or the cost of attendance or COA. Additional loan funding may be available, contact the Financial Aid Office for more information.

Agenda

Business Intelligence Subcommittee

• S. Malisch

Change Management for Academic Technologies

• B. Montes

Student System Upgrade

• K. Smith

Mobile Device Strategy

• D. Vonder Heide, J. Sibenaller

Introduction -

- Fall 2016 Internal Audit reviewed the design of Loyola's mobile device governance processes across four areas:
 - Policies/People
 - Data
 - Apps/Websites
 - Devices
- Evaluated against maturity model modified for Higher Education
 - Capability Maturity Model Integration (CMMI)

Maturity Level	Maturity Model Criteria
0 - Non-existent	Systems and processes do not exist for the area.
1 - Initial	Basic systems exist that may meet institutional requirements, if defined, but processes for the area are not documented and are often executed in an ad hoc or inconsistent manner.
2 - Repeatable	Systems exist that meet institutional requirements, where defined, and the supporting processes for the area are repeatable, possibly with consistent results. They are executed and managed by appropriate personnel according to their documented plans, where plans exist.
3 - Defined	Systems exist that meet defined institutional requirements to achieve objectives and goals. The supporting processes are executed for all aspects of the area as defined, documented, and communicated.
4 - Managed	Management uses defined metrics to monitor and control the systems and processes in the area. Management can identify ways to adjust and adapt the systems and processes for increased efficiency without measurable losses of quality or deviations from specifications.
	given area, and often only when able to cost effectively automate many aspects of the area.]
5 - Optimizina	The systems and processes that enable the area are continually improved upon through both incremental and innovative advancement. The organization adopts leading edge practices.
o - Optimizing	[Note: This level requires substantial resources (e.g., people, processes, technology) and likely may not be appropriate for most areas based on an institution's own cost benefit analysis.]

Summary Audit Findings

	Areas / Components	Observed Current State	Recommended Goal State
	Area: Policies/People		
$\boldsymbol{\otimes}$	1. Mobile device strategy	1 – Initial	3 – Defined
Ø	2. Device procurement and disposal	1 – Initial	2 – Repeatable
Ø	3. Acceptable use agreement	2 – Repeatable	3 – Defined
$\boldsymbol{\otimes}$	4. Training and awareness	1 – Initial	3 – Defined
	Area: Data		
\bigcirc	5. Data classification	3 – Defined	3 – Defined
	6. Data storage and handling	2 – Repeatable	3 – Defined
>	7. Authentication and encryption	2 – Repeatable	2 – Repeatable
\bigcirc	8. Incident response	3 – Defined	3 – Defined
	Area: Apps/Websites		
\bigcirc	9. Mobile apps	2 – Repeatable	2 – Repeatable
\bigcirc	10. Web applications	2 – Repeatable	2 – Repeatable
	11. Remote access	3 – Defined	3 – Defined
	12. Encryption	3 – Defined	3 – Defined
	Area: Devices		
$\boldsymbol{\otimes}$	13. Inventory	1 – Initial	2 – Repeatable
$\boldsymbol{\otimes}$	14. Security standards	0 - Non-existent	3 – Defined
$\boldsymbol{\otimes}$	15. Technical controls	1 – Initial	3 – Defined
	16. Support	2 – Repeatable	2 – Repeatable

Satisfactory Maturity Level

Deficient Maturity Level

Early Effort

- Engaged Gartner GTP
- Queried AJCU Schools
- Attended Catalyst Conference
- Began drafting our strategy

- Best practices research and assessment
- Mobile device strategy documented
- Create a milestone based plan for the mobile strategy deliverables
- Mobile device strategy approved by the ITESC
- Communicate mobile device strategy to the University
- Assemble MCOE

38

- Define "mobile devices" & support requirements
- BYOD policy & process documented & approved
- University-owned procurement process documented & approved
- Service catalogue requirements documented & approved
- Policy updates: Current Acceptable Use, Information Security & Data Classification

- Mobile device security standards documented & approved
- Define standards for security configurations for UOD & POD
- Determine technology needs for mobile threat protection

- Define technical changes/parameters
- Determine technology needs for the management of mobile device
- Implement & enforce technical controls

- Mobile device inventory tracking template documented & approved
- Process for tracking mobile assets to be documented & approved

- ITS Security Practices, Procedures & Training documented, approved, & implemented
- Mobile Device User-Training documented, scheduled & implemented
- Processes in place to triage mobile incidents

- Mobile device metrics & monitoring process
- Key performance indicators identified & defined

• Baker Tilly reassessment of Loyola's mobile device governance posture

Governance

- Utilize the existing ITESC & Subcommittee governance processes
- The MCOE will be a working group under the Architecture Review Board
- All recommendations will come to the ITESC for approval

Mobile Device Strategy – Timeline

Next Steps

- Consensus on governance
- Approval to proceed with the execution of the strategy
- Suggestions for representation on the Mobile Center of Excellence

2017 ITESC Schedule

January 26, 2017 - Thursday, 1:30-3:30 PM

- Project Portfolio Prioritization Results
- Status Updates Major Upgrades
 - Student System, Phone System, Advance, Document Mgmt

May 04, 2017 - Thursday, 1:30-3:30 PM

- Project Portfolio Prioritization
- Summer Project: 10Gb Connectivity/NGFW
- Academic Year 2017-18 Project: Box to OneDrive
- Information Security Training

June 15, 2017 - Thursday, 1:30-3:30 PM

- Event Management Solution
- Project Portfolio Prioritization
- Information Security Training Proposal

September 20, 2017 – Wednesday 1:30-3:30 PM

- Business Intelligence Subcommittee
- Change Management for Academic Technologies
- Student System Upgrade
- Mobile Device Strategy

October 26, 2017 - Thursday, 1:30-3:30 PM

December 12, 2017 - Tuesday, 1:30-3:30 PM

Project Portfolio Prioritization

